	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/699,023	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vanessa L. Ford	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Vanessa L. Ford</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Hanson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2/2/2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: all rejections		
Claims discussed: all claims		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant was informed that a terminal disclaimer was needed in Applicant was informed that upon futher consideration all other p	the application to overcome the o	double patenting rejection.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a write	interview, since the interview
Jahra Hod (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	a, Abugair a Lobi contrario e	• • • • •